arXiv:1401.6042v2 [math.AG] 7 Apr 2014

ON THE MONODROMY OF MILNOR FIBERS OF HYPERPLANE ARRANGEMENTS

PAULINE BAILET

Abstract. We describe a general setting where the monodromy action on the first cohomology group of the Milnor fiber of a hyperplane arrangement is the identity.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{H_1, \dots, H_d\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a central arrangement of d hyperplanes, with Milnor Fiber $F_{\mathcal{A}}$, and intersection lattice $L(\mathcal{A})$. For any edge $X \in L(\mathcal{A})$, we note $\mathcal{A}_X := \{H \in \mathcal{A} \mid X \subset H\}$ the corresponding subarrangement. We associate to $\mathcal{A}$ the projective arrangement $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ obtained by associating to a hyperplane $H \in \mathcal{A}$, given by $\ell_H = 0$, the hyperplane $H' \in \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by the same equation $\ell_H = 0$. We note $M(\mathcal{A})$ and $M(\mathcal{A}')$ the complements of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$.
Consider the Orlik-Solomon algebra $A^*_R(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ with coefficients in a unitary commutative ring R, and the corresponding Aomoto complex: $(A^*_R(\mathcal{A}), \omega_1 \wedge)$, where $\omega_1 = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}} a_H \in A^1_R(\mathcal{A})$. Here $a_H \in A^1_R(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the element of $A^1_R(\mathcal{A})$ corresponding to the hyperplane H, see [14].

Let $\lambda = exp(2\sqrt{-1}\pi/d)$. For $q \geq 0$, we denote by $H^q(F_{\mathcal{A}})_{\lambda^k}$ the λ^k- eigenspace of the monodromy operator $h^q : H^q(F_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C}) \to H^q(F_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C})$, for $0 \leq k \leq d-1$. There is a well known relation between these eigenspaces and the cohomology of $M(\mathcal{A}')$ with coefficients in a rank one local system [4], [6]:

$$H^q(F_{\mathcal{A}})_{\lambda^k} = H^q(M(\mathcal{A}'), \mathcal{L}_{\lambda^k}),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda^k}$ is the rank one local system on $M(\mathcal{A}')$ whose monodromy around any hyperplane of $\mathcal{A}'$ is λ^k. The main result of this note, Theorem 1.1 below, is a vanishing result describing many situations where $H^q(F_{\mathcal{A}})_{\lambda^k} = 0$ for $k \neq 0$.

Let us begin by introducing a new combinatorial object associated to a hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A}$, namely a graph $G(\mathcal{A})$ given by:

- The vertices of $G(\mathcal{A})$ correspond to the hyperplanes of $\mathcal{A}$.
- Two different vertices H_1 and H_2 are linked by an edge (we will note $H_1 - H_2$) if and only if $\mathcal{A}_X = \{H_1, H_2\}$, where $X = H_1 \cap H_2$.

We say that such a graph is connected if for any two vertices H_1 and H_2, we can find an edge sequence linking H_1 and H_2.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32S22, 32S55; Secondary 32S25, 32S40.
Key words and phrases. hyperplane arrangements, Milnor fiber, monodromy, local systems .

Note that in hyperplane arrangement theory it is a classical idea to associate an arrangement to a graph (to obtain a graphic arrangement). However, it seems that the converse construction of a graph from an arrangement is rather unexplored.

With the previous notation we have the following main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose the following assumptions are verified.*

(i) The graph $G(\mathcal{A})$ is connected.
(ii) For every codimension 2 intersection X of hyperplanes in $\mathcal{A}$, we have $|\mathcal{A}_X| \leq 9$.
(iii) We have either $6 \nmid d$, or there exists an hyperplane $H \in \mathcal{A}$ such that if X is an intersection of hyperplanes of $\mathcal{A}$ of codimension 2, $X \subset H$, then $|\mathcal{A}_X| \neq 6$.

Then $H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C}) = H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_1$, i.e. $H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_{\lambda^k} = 0$ for $k \neq 0$.

Remark 1.2. (i) We have that

$$H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_1 = H^1(M(\mathcal{A}'), \mathcal{L}_{\lambda^0}) = H^1(M(\mathcal{A}'), \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^{d-1}.$$

Thus, Theorem 1.1 gives large number of situations where $H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C})$ is determined by the intersection lattice $L(\mathcal{A})$. Indeed, the graph $G(\mathcal{A})$ is constructed with the information given by $L(\mathcal{A})$. In general, the question whether the cohomology of $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ is determined by $L(\mathcal{A})$ is still open, even if many advances have been made (see for instance the results of A. Macinic and S. Papadima [13] for the first Betti number of graphic arrangements, as well as the results of M. Yoshinaga on real line arrangements [18], [19]).

(ii) By taking a generic 3-dimensional subspace $E \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and replacing $\mathcal{A}'$ by the corresponding line arrangement in $\mathbb{P}(E) = \mathbb{P}^2$, we can consider from the beginning that $n = 2$. This follows from the Zariski Theorem of Lefschetz type due to Hamm, Hamm-Lê and Goreski-MacPherson, see for instance for the simplest version [5], p.25. Moreover, in the case of a line arrangement, the action of h^1 determines all the actions h^* in view of the usual formula for the zeta-function of the monodromy of the Milnor fiber of a homogeneous polynomial, see for instance [5], p.107.

(iii) The case where every distinct lines H, H' of $\mathcal{A}$ are linked by an edge corresponds to the case where $\mathcal{A}$ is generic and then Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 3.2 in [4].

The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses a deep result of S. Papadima and A. Suciu [15] on resonance varieties with coefficients in a finite field and a vanishing result of D. Cohen, A. Dimca and P. Orlik [3], obtained via perverse sheaves as explained in detail in [6] . As a corollary, we apply this Theorem 1.1 to the braid arrangement to recover S. Settepanella and A. Măcinic and S. Papadima results in this particular case.

We would like to thank A. Măcinic and G. Dehnam for some useful suggestions to improve the first version of this paper. Special thanks are due to A. Dimca for his help.

2. Demonstration of Theorem 1.1

The first result explains the role played by the graph $G(\mathcal{A})$ in this story.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose the graph $G(\mathcal{A})$ is connected. Then $H^1(A_R^*(\mathcal{A}), \omega_1\wedge) = 0$ for any unitary commutative ring R.*

Proof. We show that $ker\{\ A_R^1 \xrightarrow{\omega_1\wedge} A_R^2\ \} = R.\omega_1$. Let $b = \sum_{H\in\mathcal{A}} b_H a_H$ be an element of $A_R^1(\mathcal{A})$. For all $X \in L(\mathcal{A})$ we note $\omega_{1X} = \sum_{H|X\subset H} a_H$, and $b_X = \sum_{H|X\subset H} b_H a_H$. We have that $A_R^2(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{X\in L_2(\mathcal{A})} A_R^2(\mathcal{A}_X)$, with $L_2(\mathcal{A}) = \{X \in L(\mathcal{A}) \,|\, codim\, X = 2\}$ by Brieskorn decomposition theorem [14]. Hence we have:

$$\omega_1 \wedge b = 0_R \ \Leftrightarrow\ \omega_{1X} \wedge b_X = 0_R \ \forall X \in L_2(\mathcal{A}).$$

So, suppose $\omega_1 \wedge b = 0_R$ and let us show that $b_H = b_{H'}\ \forall H \neq H' \in \mathcal{A}$.
Let H, H' be two distinct hyperplanes of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $X = H \cap H' \in L_2(\mathcal{A})$ and we have either:

- H and H' are linked with an edge and $\mathcal{A}_X = \{H, H'\}$. In this case we have $\omega_{1X} \wedge b_X = 0_R \Rightarrow (a_H + a_{H'}) \wedge (b_H a_H + b_{H'} a_{H'}) = 0_R \Rightarrow b_H = b_{H'}$.
- Or H and H' are not directely linked by an edge but there exists $H_{i_1}, \ldots, H_{i_m}$ hyperplanes of $\mathcal{A}$ such that H and H_{i_1}, H_{i_1} and $H_{i_2}, \ldots, H_{i_m}$ and H' are linked. With the same considerations than in the first case we have that $b_H = b_{H_{i_1}},\ b_{H_{i_1}} = b_{H_{i_2}}, \ldots, b_{H_{i_m}} = b_{H'},$ so $b_H = b_{H'}$.

Hence b and ω_1 are proportionnal and $H^1(A_R^*, \omega_1\wedge) = 0$.

□

A shorter proof can be obtained using Lemma 3.3 in [12], which says that if $\omega_1 \wedge b = 0$, then for every $X = H \cap H' \in L_2(\mathcal{A})$ such that H and H' are linked with an edge, we have that $b_H - b_{H'} = 0$. Then we can conclude with the connectivity of the graph (in this paper the result is stated only for a field R of characteristic 0, but it is easy to see that it holds in general). For $R = \mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, p prime, we can use Lemma 4.9 of [13], which is a generalization of Lemma 3.3 of [12] for finite fields, and we have that if $\omega_1 \wedge b = 0$, then for every $X = H \cap H' \in L_2(\mathcal{A})$ such that H and H' are linked with an edge, we have: $b_H + b_{H'} = 0$ if $p = 2$, and $b_H = b_{H'}$ if $p \neq 2$. Hence we always have $b_H = b_{H'}$, and we conclude with the connectivity of the graph.

Remark 2.2. In fact, a more general version of Lemma 2.1 holds. If $\omega = \sum_{H\in\mathcal{A}} \omega_H a_H$ satisfies $\omega_H \neq 0_R \forall H \in \mathcal{A}$, we can show that if $G(\mathcal{A})$ is connected, then we have $H^1(A_R^*(\mathcal{A}), \omega\wedge) = 0$ for any unitary commutative ring R. Indeed, suppose $\omega\wedge b = 0_R$. If H and H' are linked by an edge, then $(\omega_H a_H + \omega_{H'} a_{H'}) \wedge (b_H a_H + b_{H'} a_{H'}) = 0_R$ $\Rightarrow\ \omega_H b_{H'} - \omega_{H'} b_H = 0\ \Rightarrow\ \exists t \in R \mid \left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} b_H & = & t\omega_H \\ b_{H'} & = & t\omega_{H'} \end{array} \right.$. If there exists $H_{i_1}, \ldots, H_{i_m}$ hyperplanes of $\mathcal{A}$ such that H and H_{i_1}, H_{i_1} and $H_{i_2}, \ldots, H_{i_m}$ and H' are linked, with the same considerations we have that there exists $t, t_1, \ldots, t_m$ scalars in R such that:

$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} b_H & = & t\omega_H \\ b_{H_{i_1}} & = & t\omega_{H_{i_1}} \end{array} \right.$, $\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} b_{H_{i_1}} & = & t_1\omega_{H_{i_1}} \\ b_{H_{i_2}} & = & t_1\omega_{H_{i_2}} \end{array} \right.$, ..., $\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} b_{H_{i_m}} & = & t_m\omega_{H_{i_m}} \\ b_{H'} & = & t_m\omega_{H'} \end{array} \right.$. By identification we find that $t = t_1 = ... = t_m$. Hence b and ω are proportionnal. Furthermore, because $\mathcal{A}$ is central, we have that $H^*(M(\mathcal{A}), \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free. Now, let $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$ be a primitive root of the unity of order p^s, p prime. Let $(k_H)_{H\in\mathcal{A}}$ be a collection of integers with g.c.d equal to 1 and such that $k_H \neq 0_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\ \forall\, H \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\rho : \pi_1(M(\mathcal{A})) \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be the representation such that $\rho(\gamma_H) = u^{k_H}$, where γ_H is the meridian around the hyperplane H, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be the associated rank one local system on $M(\mathcal{A})$. Let us take $\omega = \sum_{H\in\mathcal{A}}[k_H]a_H \in A^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{A})$, where $[k_H]$ is the mod p reduction of k_H. If $G(\mathcal{A})$ is connected, then $H^1(A^*_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{A}), \omega\wedge) = 0$. Finally with Theorem 6.2 of [13] or Theorem C of [15] we have that $H^1(M(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{L}) = 0$. In fact it is generalization to the case $k_H = 1\ \forall\ H \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\omega = \omega_1$ that we will use in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The second result is rather general, and we include it here for reader's sake, as we were not able to find a proper reference.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\omega_1 \in A^*_R(\mathcal{A})$ be as above and assume that $\partial\omega_1 = d = 0$ in R. Then $H^1(A^*_R(\mathcal{A}), \omega_1\wedge) = H^1(A^*_R(\mathcal{A}'), \omega_1\wedge)$.*

Proof. We have that $A^*_R(\mathcal{A}') = ker\{\ A^*_R(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\partial} A^*_R(\mathcal{A})\ \} \subset A^*_R(\mathcal{A})$, so it is clear that $H^1(A^*_R(\mathcal{A}'), \omega_1\wedge) \subset H^1(A^*_R(\mathcal{A}), \omega_1\wedge)$. Now let $b \in ker\{\ A^1_R(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\omega_1\wedge} A^2_R(\mathcal{A})\ \}$. We have that $\partial(\omega_1 \wedge b) = d.b - \omega_1.\partial b = -\omega_1.\partial b = 0 \ \Rightarrow\ \partial b = 0$ in R.
Hence $b \in ker\{\ A^1_R(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\partial} A^0_R(\mathcal{A})\ \} = A^1_R(\mathcal{A}')$, and we have that
$ker\{\ A^1_R(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\omega_1\wedge} A^2_R(\mathcal{A})\ \} \subset ker\{\ A^1_R(\mathcal{A}') \xrightarrow{\omega_1\wedge} A^2_R(\mathcal{A}')\ \}$, and
$H^1(A^*_R(\mathcal{A}), \omega_1\wedge) \subset H^1(A^*_R(\mathcal{A}'), \omega_1\wedge)$. □

Remark 2.4. If we take H'_d the hyperplane at infty, we can define $A^*_R(\mathcal{A}')$ as the Orlik-Solomon algebra of the affine arrangement $\mathcal{A}' = \{H'_1, ..., H'_{d-1}\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$.
Let $\omega'_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a'_{H_i} \in A^1_R(\mathcal{A}')$, where $a'_{H_i} \in A^1_R(\mathcal{A}')$ denotes the element of $A^1_R(\mathcal{A}')$ corresponding to the hyperplane H'_i. Then we have in fact that $a'_{H_i} = a_{H_i} - a_{H_d}$ $\forall 1 \leq i \leq d-1$. So if R is finite field of characteristic p, p prime, $p \mid d$, then we have $\omega'_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}(a_{H_i} - a_{H_d}) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_{H_i} - (d-1)a_{H_d} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{H_i} = \omega_1$. Hence $H^1(A^*_R(\mathcal{A}'), \omega'_1\wedge) = H^1(A^*_R(\mathcal{A}'), \omega_1\wedge)$.

Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. For this we consider several cases.

(1) If $6|d$, there exists $H \in \mathcal{A}$ such that:

$$\text{if } X \in L_2(\mathcal{A}),\ X \subset H, \text{ then } |\mathcal{A}_X| \neq 6.$$

So the associated projective hyperplane $H' \in \mathcal{A}'$ is such that:

$$\text{if } X \in L_2(\mathcal{A}'),\ X \subset H', \text{ then } |\mathcal{A}'_X| \neq 6.$$

Let $\lambda^k \neq 1$ be a d-th root of the unity. The only edge of $\mathcal{A}'$ contained in H' of codimension 1 is H', and the corresponding monodromy operator of $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda^k}$ is $T_{H'} = \lambda^k \neq 1$. Let $X \in L(\mathcal{A}')$ be a dense edge of $\mathcal{A}'$ of codimension 2

contained in H'. Then the corresponding monodromy operator of $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda^k}$ about the divisor associated to X is

$$T_X = \lambda^{k|\mathcal{A}'_X|},$$

with $|\mathcal{A}'_X| \in \{1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9\}$, see [6]. By using the vanishing result of Remark 2.4.20 of [6] applied to $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda^k}$, we have that:

$$ord(\lambda^k) \notin \{1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9\} \Rightarrow H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_{\lambda^k} = 0.$$

On the other hand, Theorem C of [15], with our Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 and Remark 2.4 for a finite field $R = \mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, show that if $ord(\lambda^k) = p^s$ with p prime and $s \geq 1$, i.e. if $ord(\lambda^k) \in \{2,3,4,5,7,8,9\}$, then again $H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_{\lambda^k} = 0$. Hence we have $H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C}) = H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_1$ in all the above subcases.

(2) Suppose $6 \nmid d$, and let $X \in L(\mathcal{A}')$ be a dense edge of $\mathcal{A}'$ of codimension 2. With the same considerations as in the first subcase above, i.e. using Remark 2.4.20 of [6], we have that:

$$ord(\lambda^k) \notin \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9\} \Rightarrow H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_{\lambda^k} = 0.$$

Because $ord(\lambda^k)|d$, we have that $ord(\lambda^k) \neq 6$, so $ord(\lambda^k) \in \{2,3,4,5,7,8,9\}$, and we conclude as in the previous case, using Theorem C of [15], and our Lemma 2.1 .

3. Application

We now apply Theorem 1.1 to the braid arrangement $\mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ with Milnor fiber F_n. Recall that $\mathcal{A}_n$ is the collection of the hyperplanes

$$H_{ij} : x_i - x_j = 0, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1.$$

Corollary 3.1. *We have that $H^1(F_n, \mathbb{C}) = H^1(F_n)_1$ for any $n \geq 4$.*

Proof. Let us show that $G(\mathcal{A}_n)$ is connected for $n \geq 4$.

There are two types of intersections $X \in L_2(\mathcal{A}_n)$ of codimension 2:

(1) Type 1:
The intersections $X = \{ x_i = x_j, x_k = x_l, \ \ 1 \leq i < j < k < l \leq n+1 \}$,
with corresponding subarrangement $\mathcal{A}_X = \{H_{ij}, H_{kl}\}$.

(2) Type 2:
The intersections $X = \{ x_i = x_j = x_k, \ \ 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n+1 \}$,
with corresponding subarrangement $\mathcal{A}_X = \{H_{ij}, H_{ik}, H_{jk}\}$.

Let H_{ij} , H_{kl} , $i < j$, $k < l$ be two distinct hyperplanes,
and $X = H_{ij} \cap H_{kl} \in L_2(\mathcal{A}_n)$. Suppose $i \leq k$.

- If $\{i,j\} \cap \{k,l\} = \emptyset$, then X is type 1 and $\mathcal{A}_X = \{H_{ij}, H_{kl}\}$.
  Hence H_{ij} and H_{kl} are linked by an edge.

- If $\{i,j\} \cap \{k,l\} \neq \emptyset$ then three cases are possible:

(a) If $j = k$, then the set $I = \{i, j, k, l\}$ has three elements. Because $n \geq 4$, the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ has at least five elements and so contains two elements $p < q$ such that $I \cap \{p, q\} = \emptyset$. Hence $H_{ij} \cap H_{pq}$ and $H_{jl} \cap H_{pq}$ are two type 1 intersections and we have that H_{ij} and H_{pq}, and H_{pq} and H_{jl} are linked.
(b) If $j = l$, with the same considerations we have that there exists two element $p < q$ such that H_{ij} and H_{pq}, and H_{pq} and H_{kj} are linked.
(c) If $i = k$, with the same considerations we have that there exists two element $p < q$ such that H_{ij} and H_{pq}, and H_{pq} and H_{il} are linked.

Finally $G(\mathcal{A}_n)$ is connected for $n \geq 4$.
Moreover, it is clear that $\mathcal{A}_n$ verifies the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 because $|\mathcal{A}_{nX}| = 2$ or $3 \ \ \forall X \in L_2(\mathcal{A}_n)$, and we have:

$$H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}_n}, \mathbb{C}) = H^1(F_n)_1 \ \ \forall n \geq 4.$$

□

Remark 3.2. For $n = 3$, the graph $G(\mathcal{A}_3)$ has three connected components, so is not connected. It is known that $H^1(F_3, \mathbb{C})_{\neq 1} = H^1(F_3)_{\lambda^2} \oplus H^1(F_3)_{\lambda^4}$ is 2-dimensional, [13], [16]. Similarly, for $n = 2$, the graph $G(\mathcal{A}_2)$ has three connected components and $H^1(F_2, \mathbb{C})_{\neq 1} = H^1(F_2)_{\lambda} \oplus H^1(F_2)_{\lambda^2}$, is again 2-dimensional, [13], [16]. For the Ceva arrangement given by

$$(x^3 - y^3)(y^3 - z^3)(x^3 - z^3) = 0,$$

the graph $G(\mathcal{A})$ has 9 connected components (there are no edges in this case). It is known that $H^1(F, \mathbb{C})_{\neq 1} = H^1(F)_{\lambda^2} \oplus H^1(F)_{\lambda^4}$ is 4-dimensional, see for instance [1].

Moreover, note that if $\mathcal{A}'$ is a line arrangement in $\mathbb{P}^2$ coming from a pencil having $k \geq 3$ completely reducible fibers, see [10] , then the corresponding graph $G(\mathcal{A})$ has at least k connected components

Corollary 3.3. *Assume that $\mathcal{A}'$ is a line arrangement in $\mathbb{P}^2$ having only double and triple points. Assume that either*
(i) the graph $G(\mathcal{A})$ is connected, or
(ii) there is one line containing exactly one triple point and d is even.
Then $H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C}) = H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_1$.

Proof. The case (i) follows directly from Theorem 1.1.
(ii) Let $H \in \mathcal{A}$ be the line containing exactly one triple point p. Let H_1, $H_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_p = \{H, H_1, H_2\}$. Every $H_i \notin \mathcal{A}_p$ is linked by an edge with H and we have that $G(\mathcal{A})$ is not connected if and only if H_1 or H_2 contains only triple points. For example, if H_1 would contain only triple points, we could count the hyperplanes of $\mathcal{A}$ in the following manner: $H_1, (H_{i_1}, H_{j_1}), (H_{i_2}, H_{j_2}), \dots, (H_{i_{(d-1)/2}}, H_{j_{(d-1)/2}})$, where the pairs (H_i, H_j) correspond to the points of multiplicity 3 contained in H_1. Finally it would imply that $d = 2.(d-1)/2 + 1$ is odd, which is in contradiction with our assumptions. Hence $G(\mathcal{A})$ is connected and we conclude directly with Theorem 1.1.
□

Corollary 3.3 is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1. The next result (with a proof similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1) is more general, and can be obtained also as a consequence of Theorem 1.2 of [11] saying that if $H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C}) \neq H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_1$, then $\mathcal{A}'$ comes from a pencil so $G(\mathcal{A})$ is not connected. Indeed, for such a pencil, (ii) of Proposition 3.4 is not verified except for $d = 3$.

Proposition 3.4. *Assume that $\mathcal{A}'$ is a line arrangement in $\mathbb{P}^2$ having only double and triple points. Assume that either*
(i) the graph $G(\mathcal{A})$ is connected, or
(ii) $d = |\mathcal{A}')| > 3$ and there is one line containing exactly one triple point.
Then $H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C}) = H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_1$.

Proof. The case (i) follows directly from Theorem 1.1.
(ii) Let $H' \in \mathcal{A}'$ be the line containing exactly one triple point p. Let H'_1, $H'_2 \in \mathcal{A}'$ such that $\mathcal{A}'_p = \{H', H'_1, H'_2\}$. Let $\lambda^k \neq 1$ be a d-th root of the unity. The only edge of $\mathcal{A}'$ of codimension 1 contained in H' is H', and the corresponding monodromy operator of $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda^k}$ is $T_{H'} = \lambda^k \neq 1$. The dense edges of codimension 2 contained in H' are the points of multiplicity 3 in H'. So let $X \subset H'$ be such a dense edge. Then the corresponding monodromy operator of $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda^k}$ about the divisor associated to X is $T_X = \lambda^{3k}$. By using the vanishing result of Remark 2.4.20 of [6] applied to $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda^k}$, we have that $ord(\lambda^k) \neq 3 \Rightarrow H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_{\lambda^k} = 0$.
Now let us show that $H^1(A^*_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(\mathcal{A}), \omega_1 \wedge) = 0$.

Let $b = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}} b_H a_H \in ker\{ A^1_{\mathbb{Z}_3} \xrightarrow{\omega_1 \wedge} A^2_{\mathbb{Z}_3} \}$. If $H_k \in \mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}_p$, then $X = H \cap H_k$ is such that $\mathcal{A}_X = \{H, H_k\}$ and with the same considerations as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 we have $\omega_{1X} \wedge b_X = 0 \Leftrightarrow b_{H_k} = b_H$. We will show that $b_{H_1} = b_{H_2} = b_H$. Let $H_k \in \mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}_p$, and $X_1 = H_1 \cap H_k$, $X_2 = H_2 \cap H_k$, the corresponding intersections with H_1 and H_2. We consider several cases.

- If $|\mathcal{A}_{X_1}| = 2$ and $|\mathcal{A}_{X_2}| = 2$, then $\omega_{1X_1} \wedge b_{X_1} = 0$ and $\omega_{1X_2} \wedge b_{X_2} = 0$ $\Leftrightarrow b_{H_1} = b_{H_k}$ and $b_{H_2} = b_{H_k}$. As $b_{H_k} = b_H$ we obtain $b_{H_1} = b_{H_2} = b_H$.
- If $|\mathcal{A}_{X_1}| = 3$ and $|\mathcal{A}_{X_2}| = 2$, then $\mathcal{A}_{X_1} = \{H_1, H_k, H_j\}$, with $H_j \neq H, H_2$ (If $H_j = H$ or H_2, then $X_1 = p$ and $H_k \in \mathcal{A}_p$), and $\mathcal{A}_{X_2} = \{H_2, H_k\}$.
  By taking $\{a_{H_1} a_{H_k}, a_{H_1} a_{H_j}\}$ as base of $A^2_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(\mathcal{A})$ whe have that
  $\omega_{1X_1} \wedge b_{X_1} = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_{H_1} a_{H_k}(2b_{H_k} - b_{H_1} - b_{H_j}) + a_{H_1} a_{H_j}(2b_{H_j} - b_{H_1} - b_{H_k}) = 0$
  $\Leftrightarrow b_{H_1} + b_{H_k} + b_{H_j} = 0$ $(*)$ (in $\mathbb{Z}_3$). With $b_{H_k} = b_H$ and $b_{H_j} = b_H$, we obtain $(*) \Leftrightarrow b_{H_1} = b_H$. We also have $\omega_{1X_2} \wedge b_{X_2} = 0 \Leftrightarrow b_{H_2} = b_{H_k}$. Finally $b_{H_1} = b_{H_2} = b_H$.
- If $|\mathcal{A}_{X_1}| = 3$ and $|\mathcal{A}_{X_2}| = 3$, then $\mathcal{A}_{X_1} = \{H_1, H_k, H_j\}$, with $H_j \neq H, H_2$ and $\mathcal{A}_{X_2} = \{H_2, H_k, H_l\}$, with $H_l \neq H, H_1$. With the same considerations as in the previous case we have $\omega_{1X_1} \wedge b_{X_1} = 0 \Leftrightarrow b_{H_1} + b_{H_k} + b_{H_j} = 0$ $(*)$ and $\omega_{1X_2} \wedge b_{X_2} = 0 \Leftrightarrow b_{H_2} + b_{H_k} + b_{H_l} = 0$ $(**)$. With $b_{H_k} = b_{H_j} = b_{H_l} = b_H$, we obtain $(*) \Leftrightarrow b_{H_1} = b_H$ and $(**) \Leftrightarrow b_{H_2} = b_H$. Finally $b_{H_1} = b_{H_2} = b_H$.

Hence b and ω_1 are proportional and $H^1(A^*_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(\mathcal{A}), \omega_1 \wedge) = 0$.
On the other hand, Theorem C of [15], with our Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 and Remark 2.4 for $R = \mathbb{Z}_3$ gives: $ord(\lambda^k) = 3 \Rightarrow H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_{\lambda^k} = 0$. □

The following examples show the difficulty of the problem in the general case. First we give an example where $G(\mathcal{A})$ is not connected, showing that the conditions (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 1.1 are not sufficient.

Example 3.5. Let $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be the arrangement defined by the homogeneous polynomial $Q(x:y:z) = xyz(x^4-y^4)(y^4-z^4)(x^4-z^4)$. Lines of $\mathcal{A}'$ are: $\{x=0\}, \{y=0\}$, $\{z=0\}$, $d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6, d_7, d_8, d_9, d_{10}, d_{11}, d_{12}$, where d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 are of the form $x = \alpha y$, d_5, d_6, d_7, d_8 are of the form $y = \alpha z$, and $d_9, d_{10}, d_{11}, d_{12}$ are of the form $x = \alpha z$, with $\alpha^4 = 1$. The intersection between d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 with $\{z = 0\}$ is a point of multiplicity 2, and it is the same for d_5, d_6, d_7, d_8 with $\{x = 0\}$, and for $d_9, d_{10}, d_{11}, d_{12}$ with $\{y = 0\}$. The other intersection lines of $\mathcal{A}'$ are points of multiplicity 3 or 6. Indeed, if we take $i \in \{1,2,3,4\}$ and $j \in \{5,6,7,8\}$, we have $d_i \cap d_j := \{x = \alpha_i y\} \cap \{y = \alpha_j z\}$ with $(\alpha_i \alpha_j)^4 = 1$. So, $d_i \cap d_j = d_i \cap d_j \cap d_k$ where $d_k := \{x = \alpha_i \alpha_j z\}$, $k \in \{9,10,11,12\}$. Similary we have a point of multiplicity 3 if we take $i \in \{1,2,3,4\}$ and $j \in \{9,10,11,12\}$, and if we take $i \in \{5,6,7,8\}$ and $j \in \{9,10,11,12\}$. Then we have tree points of multiplicity 6:
$d_1 \cap d_2 \cap d_3 \cap d_4 \cap \{x=0\} \cap \{y=0\}$, $d_5 \cap d_6 \cap d_7 \cap d_8 \cap \{y=0\} \cap \{z=0\}$, and $d_9 \cap d_{10} \cap d_{11} \cap d_{12} \cap \{x=0\} \cap \{z=0\}$. Hence $G(\mathcal{A})$ has three connected components and is not connected. It's clear that $\mathcal{A}$ verifies points (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 1.1, and we have that $H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C}) \neq H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_1$, see Remark 3.4 (iii) of [8].

When the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 are not verified, it's very complicated to conclude and we have to use other results.

Example 3.6. Let $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be the arrangement defined by the homogeneous polynomial $Q(x:y:z) = xyz(x^2-y^2)(y^2-z^2)(x^2-z^2)$. With the same arguments as in Example 3.5 we can show that $G(\mathcal{A})$ is not connected and $\mathcal{A}$ verifies points (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 1.1. But here we have that $H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C}) = H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_1$, see [4] or Remark 3.4 (ii) of [8].

Example 3.7. Let $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be the arrangement defined by the homogeneous polynomial $Q(x:y:z) = xy(x+y)(x-y)(x+2y)(x-2y)(2x+y+z)(2x+y+2z)(2x+y+3z)(2x+y-z)(2x+y-2z)(2x+y-3z)$. Here $d = 12$ and we have two intersections in $L_2(\mathcal{A})$ of multiplicity 6: $\{x = y = 0\}$, and $\{y = -2x\} \cap \{z = 0\}$. One can easily verify that each hyperplane contains one of these two intersections and that $G(\mathcal{A})$ is connected. Indeed, any hyperplane in $\{\{x=0\}, \{y=0\}, \{x+y=0\}, \{x-y=0\}, \{x+2y=0\}, \{x-2y=0\}\}$ is linked by an edge with any hyperplane in $\{\{2x+y+z=0\}, \{2x+y+2z=0\}, \{2x+y+3z=0\}, \{2x+y-z=0\}, \{2x+y-2z=0\}, \{2x+y-3z=0\}\}$. Hence (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.1 are verified, but not (iii).
The minimal number of lines in $\mathcal{A}'$ containing all the points of multiplicity at least 3 is 2, so with Theorem 1.1 of [17] we have that $\mathcal{A}'$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}_2$, and any rank one local system on $M(\mathcal{A}')$ is admissible. Hence if we take $\lambda^k \neq 1$, there exists

$$\omega = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}'} \omega_H \frac{dl_H}{l_H} \in H^1(M(\mathcal{A}'), \mathbb{C}),$$

(where l_H is the linear form defining the hyperplane H) such that

$$\dim H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_{\lambda^k} = \dim H^1(M(\mathcal{A}'), \mathcal{L}_{\lambda^k}) = \dim H^1(H^*(M(\mathcal{A}'), \mathbb{C}), \omega\wedge).$$

Furthermore it is known that $exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\,\omega_H) = \lambda^k \ \forall H,$ so $\omega_H \neq 0 \ \forall H$.
Assume $\dim H^1(H^*(M(\mathcal{A}'), \mathbb{C}), \omega\wedge) \neq 0$. Then $\omega \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{A}') = \{\alpha \in H^1(M(\mathcal{A}'), \mathbb{C}) |$ $\dim H^1(H^*(M(\mathcal{A}'), \mathbb{C}), \alpha\wedge) \geq 1\}$. With the description of the irreductible components of the first resonance variety for a $\mathcal{C}_2$ arrangement, (see Theorem 4.3 of [9]) we have a contradiction with the fact that $\omega_H \neq 0 \ \forall H$.
Hence $H^1(H^*(M(\mathcal{A}'), \mathbb{C}), \omega\wedge) = 0$, and we have that $H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C}) = H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_1$.

Example 3.8. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{C}^4$ be the arrangement defined by the homogeneous polynomial $Q(x, y, z, t) = xy(x-y)(x+y)(x-2y)(x+2y)zt(z-t)(z+t)(z-2t)(z+2t)$. Here $d = 12$ and we have two intersections in $L_2(\mathcal{A})$ of multiplicity 6: $\{x = y = 0\},$ and $\{z = t = 0\}$. One can easily verify that each hyperplane contains one of these two intersections and that $G(\mathcal{A})$ is connected. Indeed, any hyperplane in $\{\{x = 0\}, \{y = 0\}, \{x-y = 0\}, \{x+y = 0\}, \{x-2y = 0\}, \{x+2y = 0\}\}$ is linked by an edge with any hyperplane in $\{\{z = 0\}, \{t = 0\}, \{z-t = 0\}, \{z+t = 0\}, \{z-2t = 0\}, \{z+2t = 0\}\}$.
Hence (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.1 are verified, but not (iii).
One can decompose $\mathcal{A}$ in two arrangements with distinct variables: $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2$, where $\mathcal{A}_1$ is defined by $Q_1(x, y) = xy(x-y)(x+y)(x-2y)(x+2y),$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ is defined by $Q_2(z, t) = zt(z-t)(z+t)(z-2t)(z+2t)$. Let us take $\lambda^k \neq 1$ and note F_1 and F_2 the Milnor fibers of the subarrangements $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$. Then applying Theorem 1.4 (i) of [7] we have that $H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_{\lambda^k} = (H^*(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^*(F_1)_{\lambda^k} \oplus H^*(F_2)_{\lambda^k})^1 = 0$, so $H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C}) = H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}})_1$.

In fact, a more general version of Corollary 3.1 holds.
Let Γ be a simple graph (that is to say it contains no loop and no double edge) and $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ be the corresponding graphic arrangement, see for instance [13]. In other words, $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ is a subarrangement of the braid arrangement. Such a graph Γ is composed by edges (ij), $i < j,$ and the corresponding arrangement $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ is composed by the hyperplanes $H_{ij} : x_i - x_j = 0,$ $(ij) \in \Gamma$. We will note $|\Gamma|$ the number of vertices of Γ. We say that Γ is connected if we can link two different vertices with an edge sequence. Let $\omega_1 = \sum_{(ij)\in\Gamma} a_{ij} \in A^1_R(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)$.

We have the following result:

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose Γ is connected and $|\Gamma| \geq 5$, then $H^1(A^*_R(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma), \omega_1\wedge) = 0$ for any unitary commutative ring R.*

Proof. Let $b = \sum_{(ij)\in\Gamma} b_{ij}a_{ij} \in A^1_R(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)$ such that $\omega_1 \wedge b = 0$. Let us show that b and ω_1 are proportional. With Lemma 3.3 of [12] or Lemma 4.9 of [13] we have:
(i) For all intersection of type 1: $H_{ij} \cap H_{kl}$, $i < j < k < l,$ we have $b_{ij} = b_{kl}$.
(i) For all intersection of type 2: $H_{ij} \cap H_{ik} \cap H_{jk}$, $i < j < k,$ we have either:
$b_{ij} = b_{ik} = b_{jk}$ if $3 \neq 0_R,$ or $b_{ij} + b_{ik} + b_{jk} = 0$ if $3 = 0_R$.
So let us suppose that $3 = 0_R,$ and let us take an intersection of type 2: $H_{ij} \cap H_{ik} \cap H_{jk}$, $i < j < k$. We will show that $b_{ij} = b_{ik} = b_{jk}$.
Because $|\mathcal{A}_\Gamma| \geq 5$, there exists two additional vertices s and m, so there exists two additional edges and because Γ is connected, these two edges are linked either: with

two different vertices of the triangle ijk, or with one of the vertices of the triangle ijk, or one of these edges is linked with a vertice of the triangle ijk and the other is linked with the new vertice of the first one. By symmetry, we can assume we are in one of the following cases (here we have chosen $i < j < k < s < m$ but of course the order does not matter):

(1) If (is), $(im) \in \Gamma$:
   (a) If (js), $(km) \notin \Gamma$, then $H_{ij} - H_{is} - H_{jk} - H_{im} - H_{ik}$, and $b_{ij} = b_{ik} = b_{jk}$.
   (b) If (js), $(km) \in \Gamma$, then $H_{ij} - H_{km} - H_{js} - H_{ik} - H_{js} - H_{im} - H_{jk}$, and $b_{ij} = b_{ik} = b_{jk}$.
   (c) If $(js) \in \Gamma$, $(km) \notin \Gamma$, here the graph $G(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)$ is not necessarily connected (for example it is not connected if $(jm) \in \Gamma$). But we have $H_{ik} - H_{im} - H_{jk}$, so $b_{ik} = b_{jk}$ and with $b_{ij} + b_{ik} + b_{jk} = 0$ we have $b_{ij} = -2b_{ik} = b_{ik} \in R$.
   (d) If $(js) \notin \Gamma$, $(km) \in \Gamma$, it's the symetric case of the previous.
(2) If (is), $(jm) \in \Gamma$, the graph $G(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)$ is not necessarily connected. We have $H_{ik} - H_{jm} - H_{is} - H_{jk}$, so $b_{ik} = b_{jk}$ and $b_{ij} + b_{ik} + b_{jk} = 0$ implies $b_{ij} = b_{ik}$.
(3) If (is), $(sm) \in \Gamma$, then H_{ij}, H_{ik} and H_{jk} are linked with H_{sm} in $G(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)$ and we conclude directly.

□

Remark 3.10. (i) We have that Γ is connected and $|\Gamma| \geq 5$ does not imply $G(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)$ is connected: the graphic arrangement $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma = \{H_{12}, H_{13}, H_{14}, H_{15}, H_{23}, H_{34}, H_{35}\}$ verifies Γ is connected and $|\Gamma| \geq 5$, but $G(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)$ is not connected (H_{13} is linked with any hyperplane of $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$) and we can't apply our Lemma 2.1.
(ii) If Γ is connected and $|\Gamma| \geq 5$, then we recover A. Măcini and S. Papadima results for graphic arrangements [13]. Indeed, with similar considerations as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and by using Lemma 3.9 instead of Lemma 2.1, we have that $H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}_\Gamma}, \mathbb{C}) = H^1(F_{\mathcal{A}_\Gamma})_1$.
If the graph Γ is not connected, but each of its connected components Γ_i satisfies $|\Gamma_i| \geq 5$, then $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ is a product of arrangements $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma_i}$ and we can conclude using Theorem 1.4 (i) of [7].

References

[1] N. Budur, A. Dimca and M. Saito: First Milnor cohomology of hyperplane arrangements, in: 'Topology of Algebraic Varieties and Singularities', Contemporary Mathematics 538(2011), 279-292. 3.2
[2] D. Cohen, A. Suciu: On Milnor fibrations of arrangements. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 51 (1995), no. 1, 105119. 1, 1.2, 3.6
[3] D. Cohen, P. Orlik, A. Dimca: Nonresonance conditions for arrangements. Ann. Institut Fourier (Grenoble), 53, 1883–1896 (2003).
[4] D. Cohen, A. Suciu: On Milnor fibrations of arrangements. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 51 (1995), no. 1, 105119. 1
[5] A. Dimca: Singularities and Topology of Hypersurfaces. Universitext, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York (1992) 1, 1.2, 3.6
[6] A. Dimca: Sheaves in topology. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[7] A. Dimca: Tate properties, polynomial-count varieties, and monodromy of hyperplane arrangements, Nagoya Math. J. 206 (2012), 7597. 1.2
[8] A. Dimca, S. Papadima: Finite Galois covers, cohomology jump loci, formality properties, and multinets, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 10 (2011), no. 2, 253268.
[9] T. A. T. Dinh: Characteristic varieties for a class of line arrangements. [J] Can. Math. Bull. 54, No. 1, 56-67 (2011). ISSN 0008-4395; ISSN 1496-4287/e 1, 1, 1, 2, 3
[10] M. Falk, S. Yuzvinsky: Multinets, resonance varieties, and pencils of plane curves, Compositio Math. 143 (2007), no. 4, 1069–1088. 3.8, 3.10
[11] A. Libgober: On combinatorial invariance of the cohomology of Milnor fiber of arrangements and Catalan equation over function field, Arrangements of hyperplanes, Sapporo 2009, 175–187, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 62, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2012. 3.5, 3.6
[12] A. Libgober, S. Yuzvinsky: Cohomology of the Orlik-Solomon algebras and local systems, Compos. Math. 21 (2000) 337-361. 3.7
[13] A. Măcinic, S. Papadima: On the monodromy action on Milnor fibers of graphic arrangements. Topology Appl. 156 (2009), no. 4, 761-774. 3.2
[14] P. Orlik et H. Terao: Arrangements of Hyperplanes, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1992. 3
[15] S. Papadima, A. Suciu: The spectral sequence of an equivariant chain complex and homology with local coefficients. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010), 2685-2721. 2, 3
[16] S. Settepanella: Cohomology of pure braid groups of exceptional cases. Topology Appl. 156 (2009), no. 5, 10081012. 1.2, 2, 2.2, 3.2, 3, 3, 3.10
[17] S. Nazir, R. Zahid: Admissible local systems for a class of line arrangements, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), no. 4, 13071313. 1, 2
1, 2.2, 1, 2, 3
[18] M. Yoshinaga: Milnor fibers of real line arrangements, arXiv:1301.1430. 3.2
3.7
[19] M. Yoshinaga: Resonant bands and local system cohomology groups for real line arrangements, arXiv:1301.1888. 1.2
1.2

Univ. Nice Sophia Antipolis, CNRS, LJAD, UMR 7351, 06100 Nice, France.
E-mail address: Pauline.BAILET@unice.fr